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One of our major challenges is preservation

- text must be stable
- citations and references must be retrievable
- a digital library “must remain a repository of fact in addition to being a dissemination point of information”

-- Dimitrova and Bugeja. Consider the source: predictors of online citation permanence in communication journals. Portal: Libraries and the Academy. 6(3), 2006. p.270
Why do we care?

- research builds upon previous work of others; verifiable evidence
- referencing is a manifestation of academic scholarship
- quality and thoroughness substantiated via attribution of sources
- current as well as future access to born digital is in jeopardy unless preservation is taking place
Why electronic theses and dissertations (ETDs)?

- Electronic deposit is mandated by parent institution
- Should remain stable over time
- Library maintains stewardship of thesis collection
- Scholarly content which is born-digital
Hard to pin down digital

- different kinds of digital content
- websites come and go
- page content is in flux
- digital scholarly content is susceptible to loss
- collections are in jeopardy unless preservation is taking place
Where is preservation taking place?

In his 2015 piece on Preserving the born-digital record, James Neal bluntly states:

WE ARE IN TROUBLE.

http://americanlibrariesmagazine.org/2015/05/28/preserving-the-born-digital-record/
Unintended Consequences of The Web/Internet:
Digital back copy no longer in the custody of libraries

Libraries boast of ‘e-collections’,
but do they only have ‘e-connections’?

Peter Burnhill, Standing on the digits of giants: research data, preservation and innovation. ALPSP/DPC, London, UK, 8 March 2016.
404 Error - File Not Found

Aren't you glad you didn't cite to this webpage in the Supreme Court Reporter at Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association, 131 S.Ct. 2729, 2749 n.14 (2011). If you had, like Justice Alito did, the original content would long since have disappeared and someone else might have come along and purchased the domain in order to make a comment about the transience of linked information in the internet age.

And if you quoted this in the NY Times, will you do a correction for the now changed text?
Reference Rot and Law

Harvard team studied link permanence in 2012

- 3 Harvard law and policy-related publications (1996-2012)
  - 70% of links suffer reference rot

- database of 555 links U.S. Supreme Court opinions
  - 50% of links suffer reference rot*

Law library community takes action

Harvard study prompted the creation of

- Perma.cc (2013)
  - shared digital archiving service maintained and used by network of law libraries
  - two-year funded project to study ‘reference rot’
What is Reference Rot?

Term coined in 2013 by Hiberlink Team

Two characteristics:  Link Rot +  Content Drift

- **Link Rot** = “404 Page Not Found”
- **Content Drift** = change in page content over time
Reference Rot in **STM**

Landmark study (2014) determined **1 in 5 STM articles** suffer from reference rot

- science, technology and medical articles, 1997-2012
- 3.5 million articles collected
- 3 sources: arXiv, Elsevier, Pubmed Central
- 1.8 million articles with open web references analyzed:
  - of those, 7/10 suffer reference rot*

Research Questions

- What percentage of links in Concordia’s ETD collection are affected by reference rot?
- Which disciplines exhibit more reference rot than others?
- What can be done to mitigate the problem?
Spectrum Research Repository

- Concordia University’s institutional repository
  http://spectrum.concordia.ca
- scope limited to PhD dissertations
- started with first mandatory deposit year, Spring 2011
- 5 years, Spring 2011-Fall 2015, 719 total dissertations
What to look for

Using the Hiberlink typology, documents (dissertations) can be immune, healthy or infected:

- **Immune**: dissertation contains no links
- **Healthy**: contains links, all of which are active
- **Infected**: one or more links cannot be resolved
Methodology
Sequence for Extracting and Testing Links

1. download and convert pdfs to xml
2. use *regular expression* on each converted file to find links
3. manually verify (and fix) links
4. use `cURL` to get http status code for each link
   → output: original URL, final URL, http status code
Selecting a **Regular Expression**

How to identify a link?

- Search for known top level domains (TLD) e.g. `.com`, `.edu`?
- Search for only well-formed web links e.g. start with `http://`?

⇒ Gruber v2 aka Daring Fireball

"intended to match any URLs, including ‘mailto:foo@example.com’, ‘x-whatever://foo’, etc."

(https://gist.github.com/gruber/249502)
Gruber v2 Regular Expression

(?i)\b((?:[a-z][w-]+:(?::{1,3} | [a-z0-9%])) | www\d{0,3}[.] [a-z0-9.\-]+ [.][az]{2,4}/) (?:[^s()<>]+ | (([^s()<>]+ | (\[^s()<>]+)))*\))+(?::([^s()<>]+ | (\[^s()<>]+))*)*\) | [^s`!()[\]{};:'".,<>?«»"''"]}
Challenges

- not all pdfs could be converted to xml
- inconsistent formatting of links in dissertations
  - ellipses (...) in long links
- typos, and less obvious mistakes
  - missing .edu, :, //
Preliminary Results

- 719 PhD dissertations
- 11,438 links
719 Total PhDs (2011-2015)

- Infected: 46% (332)
- Immunized: 24% (172)
- Not-Converted: 5% (35)
- Embargoed: 3% (20)

Legend:
- Embargoed
- Not Converted
- Immune
- Healthy
- Infected
Link Distribution by HTTP status code
(11,438 links)

- 200s - Successful: 77% (8,834 links)
- 300s - Redirected: 17% (1,915 links)
- 400s - Client Error: 1% (76 links)
- 500s - Server Error: 1% (1 link)
- 900s - Request denied: 0% (3 links)
- 0s - Empty response: 0% (102 links)
- 100s - Informational: 4% (507 links)
- 507: 0% (0 links)
Healthy Links / By Year

8,834 (77%) of 11,438 total links healthy

- 69% (1,249) of 1,795 total links in 2011
- 72% (2,017) of 2,822 total links in 2012
- 82% (2,118) of 2,595 total links in 2013
- 76% (1,655) of 2,187 total links in 2014
- 85% (1,655) of 1,949 total links in 2015

Green: Healthy
Red: Unhealthy
Total links (11,438) by Discipline

- JMSB (Business): 5,946 (52%)
- Science: 3,260 (28%)
- Fine Arts: 1,728 (15%)
- Eng Comp Sci: 294 (3%)
- Arts: 210 (2%)
Total Link Health / by Discipline

- JMSB (210): 74% Healthy, 26% Unhealthy
- Science (294): 75% Healthy, 25% Unhealthy
- Fine Arts (1,728): 79% Healthy, 21% Unhealthy
- Eng Comp Sci (3,260): 77% Healthy, 23% Unhealthy
- Arts (5,946): 76% Healthy, 24% Unhealthy
3,143 DOIs found

- 68% (2,146) DOIs appeared in last two years examined
- 42% (1,322) DOIs appeared in last year alone (2015)
Next steps: **Content Drift**

- in progress: sampling healthy links
- assessing Content Drift in healthy links
- visit link and compare to mementos
Early evidence of **Content Drift**

- evidence of “custom 404” in link rot results

  original URL: http://www.gfkrt.com/imperia/md/content/rt-france/cp_gfk_march___de_la_bd_-_39eme___dition_de_la_fibd.pdf

  final URL: http://www.gfk.com/404/

  http status code: 200
Mitigating Reference Rot

Mementos

- digital “snapshot in time” of a webpage
- examples include:
  - Internet Archive’s Wayback Machine
  - Archive-It
  - archive.today
- incidental archiving
Mitigation at the source

- browser plugins (e.g. Zotero) that can create mementos
- referencing mementos in the citation
  - proposed addition of 2 HTML elements:
    `<a href="http://library.concordia.ca"
    data-versionurl=http://archive.today/IX5vo
data-versiondate="2014-04-17">`
- better editorial review of link formatting
  - avoid shortened URLs (bit.ly)
Institution / Library’s Role

Should mementos be part of document deposit process?

- Who creates the memento?

- Who owns / guarantees the mementos existence?
  - copyright issues
  - public vs. institutional memento archives
  - national initiatives
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8. Chrome Memento Time Travel plugin for Zotero http://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/memento-time-travel/jgbfpjledahoajcppakbgilmojkahg
Thank you

Questions?